Society

Society, 11 (2), 742-757, 2023
P-ISSN: 2338-6932 | E-ISSN: 2597-4874
https://society.fisip.ubb.ac.id

Digital Transformation in Politics and Governance in Indonesia: Opportunities and Challenges in the Era of Technological Disruption

Muhlis Hafel
Department of Government Science, Faculty of Law, Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Terbuka, Indonesia
Corresponding Author: muhlis@ecampus.ut.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Publication Info:
Research Article

How to cite:
Hafel, M. (2023). Digital Transformation in Politics and Governance in Indonesia: Opportunities and Challenges in the Era of Technological Disruption. Society, 11(2), 742-757.

DOI: *10.33019/society.v11i2.577*

Received: July 11, 2023;
Accepted: December 30, 2023;
Published: December 31, 2023;

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation has become a major driver of change in politics and governance in Indonesia. This study aims to examine the opportunities and challenges faced in the process of digitalizing the public sector in Indonesia. Using a qualitative approach with case studies and literature analysis, the research identifies several key aspects, including increased political participation through social media platforms, the evolution of e-governance, and the implications of cybersecurity and data privacy. The units of analysis include policies and practices of digitalization in the public sector. The study population consists of government agencies, active politicians on social media, and citizens participating in digital politics. A purposive sampling technique was used to select key government agencies, influential politicians on social media, and digitally active citizens. Data were collected through in-depth interviews and document analysis and analyzed using thematic analysis techniques. The findings indicate that digital technology creates new opportunities to enhance transparency, efficiency, and public participation in Indonesian governance. However, challenges such as the digital divide, cybersecurity threats, and data privacy protection remain. The results highlight the importance of adaptive policies and robust regulatory frameworks to maximize the benefits of digital transformation while addressing existing challenges.

Keywords: *Cybersecurity; Data Privacy; Digital Transformation; E-Governance; Governance; Indonesia; Politics; Social Media*

1. Introduction

In recent years, the rapid advancement of technology has ushered in an era of unprecedented change and disruption across multiple sectors. This phenomenon, often called technological disruption, has significantly altered the landscape of modern life, influencing economic, social, and political spheres. At the heart of this transformation lies the digital revolution, characterized by the widespread adoption of information and communication technologies (ICTs), the Internet, and mobile technologies (Lund, 2021; Marien, 2014).

The impact of technological disruption is particularly evident in the realm of politics and governance. Digital technologies have revolutionized how governments operate and interact with citizens, fostering greater efficiency, transparency, and participation. For instance, e-governance platforms have enabled governments to streamline administrative processes, reduce bureaucratic red tape, and deliver public services more effectively (Meijer, 2015). These platforms facilitate access to government information and services, enhancing transparency and accountability (West, 2011).

Moreover, social media and other digital communication tools have transformed political engagement and campaigning. Politicians and political parties now utilize these platforms to reach voters, disseminate information, and mobilize support. Digital campaigning has made political processes more inclusive by providing a voice to marginalized communities and fostering greater public participation (Tufekci, 2014). Additionally, digital technologies have empowered citizens to hold their governments accountable through online activism and advocacy (Castells, 2016).

Before the digital transformation, Indonesia's political and governance landscape was characterized by significant challenges. Indonesia, a vast archipelagic nation with over 17,000 islands, faced considerable obstacles in ensuring effective governance and political stability (Aspinall & Mietzner, 2010). The pre-digital era saw a centralized government that often struggled with corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, and limited public participation (Rinaldi et al., 2007).

Given this context, the importance of digital transformation in Indonesia's political and governance systems cannot be overstated. Firstly, digital transformation is crucial for improving government efficiency and effectiveness. By automating and digitizing administrative processes, the government can significantly reduce bureaucratic delays and enhance service delivery (Gil-Garcia et al., 2014). For example, implementing e-government services allows citizens to access public services online, reducing the need for physical interactions and thus minimizing opportunities for corrupt practices (Weerakkody et al., 2015).

Secondly, digital transformation enhances transparency and accountability in governance. ICTs provide tools for greater oversight and monitoring of government activities. The availability of government data and information online promotes transparency and allows citizens to scrutinize public officials and hold them accountable (Bertot et al., 2010). This is particularly important in Indonesia, where corruption has been pervasive (Znoj, 2017).

Furthermore, digital transformation fosters greater citizen engagement and participation in the political process. Digital platforms and social media enable more inclusive and participatory governance by giving citizens a voice and facilitating direct communication between the government and the governed (Nam, 2012). This can lead to more responsive and representative governance, addressing the needs and concerns of the populace more effectively.

Concrete examples from other countries highlight how digital technology can transform governance. In Estonia, the government has implemented one of the world's most advanced e-government systems, e-Estonia. This system allows citizens to access almost all government

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

services online, from voting in elections to filing taxes, significantly increasing efficiency and transparency (Margetts & Dunleavy, 2013). Estonia's experience demonstrates the potential for digital technologies to streamline administrative processes and enhance citizen engagement (Kalvet, 2012; Kitsing, 2011).

Similarly, South Korea has embraced digital transformation through the Government 3.0 strategy, which promotes open data, citizen participation, and innovative public services. This strategy has improved public sector transparency and efficiency, fostering greater trust between the government and its citizens (Nam, 2015). South Korea's approach underscores the importance of integrating ICTs into governance frameworks to enhance service delivery and public engagement (Kim & Lee, 2012).

India's Aadhaar project is another notable example. Aadhaar is the world's largest biometric identification system, providing a unique identity number to over a billion residents. This system has transformed the delivery of public services by enabling more efficient and targeted distribution of benefits and subsidies, thereby reducing fraud and ensuring that assistance reaches those in need (Gelb & Metz, 2017). The success of Aadhaar illustrates how digital identification systems can improve governance and service delivery in large, diverse populations (Bhardwaj & Cyphert, 2020).

The era of technological disruption has reshaped the political and governance landscape globally. While it offers numerous benefits, it also presents challenges that must be addressed to harness its potential fully. The primary objective of this research is to identify the opportunities and challenges associated with digital transformation in the politics and governance of Indonesia and provide a deeper understanding of the impact of technology on efficiency, transparency, and public participation in governance.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Introduction to Digital Transformation

Digital transformation refers to integrating digital technologies into all areas of business and society, fundamentally changing how organizations operate and deliver value to customers and stakeholders. It involves adopting new technologies such as cloud computing, artificial intelligence, big data, and the Internet of Things (IoT) to enhance processes, improve efficiencies, and foster innovation (Marien, 2014).

In politics and governance, digital transformation encompasses using digital tools and platforms to improve the delivery of public services, enhance citizen engagement, and increase transparency and accountability. This includes e-governance initiatives, digital identification systems, and online platforms for public participation (West, 2011).

The historical evolution of digital transformation can be traced back to the advent of computers and the Internet. Initially, digital technologies were primarily used to automate existing processes, a phase known as digitization. Over time, the focus shifted towards digitalization, leveraging technology to improve business processes and enhance user experiences. Today, we are in the digital transformation era, characterized by the strategic use of technology to drive fundamental changes in organizational structures and societal norms (Lund, 2021).

Technological disruption is how new technologies displace established technologies and significantly alter industries or societal practices. Birnbaum et al. described how disruptive innovations can create new markets and value networks, eventually displacing existing ones (Birnbaum et al., 2005).

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

The impact of technological disruption on societal structures is profound. It transforms industries and reshapes how individuals interact, communicate, and engage with the world around them. For instance, the rise of the Internet and mobile technologies has revolutionized communication, leading to the decline of traditional media and the emergence of social media platforms. These platforms have become critical political communication, campaigning, and mobilization tools in various movements and elections worldwide (Tufekci, 2014).

Examples of technological disruptions in different industries include:

1) Retail
   The rise of e-commerce giants like Amazon has disrupted traditional brick-and-mortar retail, changing consumer shopping habits and forcing traditional retailers to adapt or perish.
2) Transportation
   Ride-sharing services like Uber and Lyft have transformed the transportation industry, challenging the dominance of traditional taxi services and prompting regulatory changes.
3) Finance
   Fintech companies leveraging blockchain and digital payment technologies are disrupting traditional banking and financial services, offering more accessible and efficient alternatives to conventional banking (Mazzucato, 2013).

In governance, technological disruption can lead to more efficient public service delivery, enhanced transparency, and increased citizen participation. However, it also presents challenges such as the digital divide, cybersecurity risks, and the need for significant organizational and cultural changes within government institutions.

2.2. Digital Transformation in Governance

In digital transformation, e-government and e-governance are pivotal in reshaping the relationship between citizens and governments. E-government, defined as the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to deliver government services to citizens and businesses, focuses on enhancing the efficiency and accessibility of public service delivery (Heeks & Stanforth, 2007). Conversely, e-governance encompasses a broader spectrum, integrating digital technologies for service delivery and promoting transparency, citizen participation, and accountability in governance processes (West, 2011). This distinction underscores the multifaceted nature of digital transformation in governance, with e-governance emphasizing citizen engagement and collaborative decision-making alongside service provision. Implementing e-government systems offers several benefits, including improved service delivery, enhanced transparency, and increased citizen participation (Bertot et al., 2010). By providing online access to government services and information, e-government platforms streamline administrative processes and reduce bureaucratic delays, leading to greater efficiency and responsiveness in governance.

Furthermore, e-governance initiatives foster transparency and accountability by making government activities and expenditures more accessible to the public, thus empowering citizens to hold their governments accountable for their actions (Nam, 2012). Despite these advantages, the adoption of e-governance systems presents significant challenges. The digital divide, characterized by disparities in internet access and digital literacy, can hinder the equitable distribution of e-government services, particularly in developing countries like Indonesia (Dijk, 2006).

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

Moreover, cybersecurity threats and data privacy concerns pose risks to the integrity and trustworthiness of e-governance systems, necessitating robust cybersecurity measures and privacy safeguards (Paun, 2018). Additionally, resistance to change within governmental institutions and the need for effective change management strategies can impede the successful implementation of e-governance initiatives (Heeks & Stanforth, 2007). Overcoming these challenges requires a holistic approach that addresses technological, organizational, and societal factors, ensuring that e-government and e-governance efforts are inclusive, secure, and responsive to the needs of all citizens.

Several countries, notably Estonia, South Korea, and India have demonstrated remarkable success in implementing digital transformation in governance. These nations provide exemplary models of how digitalization can enhance government efficiency, transparency, and public engagement.

Estonia is often heralded as a pioneer in digital governance. Its e-Estonia initiative has transformed the nation into one of the most advanced digital societies globally. The key factors contributing to Estonia's success include a robust digital infrastructure, a comprehensive legal framework, and a strong emphasis on cybersecurity. Implementing e-Residency and X-Road, a secure data exchange layer, has streamlined public services and fostered innovation (Kalvet, 2012; Kitsing, 2011). The relevance of Estonia's approach to Indonesia lies in the strategic prioritization of digital infrastructure and legislative support, which can similarly propel Indonesia's digital governance efforts.

South Korea's digital transformation journey is characterized by its extensive e-government initiatives. The Korean government's focus on building a high-speed internet infrastructure and promoting digital literacy among its citizens has significantly enhanced public service delivery and civic engagement (Nam, 2015). Programs like the Government 3.0 initiative, emphasizing transparency and open data, have made governance more participatory and efficient. For Indonesia, adopting a similar emphasis on digital literacy and open data can help bridge the digital divide and foster a more inclusive governance model.

India's digital transformation in governance, driven by initiatives such as Digital India, showcases the impact of large-scale digitalization efforts. The Aadhaar biometric identification system and the expansion of digital services have increased accessibility and efficiency in public service delivery (Gelb & Metz, 2017). The success of India's approach highlights the importance of scalable solutions and integrating technology with existing systems to address diverse governance challenges. For Indonesia, leveraging scalable digital solutions and ensuring widespread implementation can significantly enhance governance outcomes.

The success of these global case studies can be attributed to several critical factors:

1) Robust Digital Infrastructure
   The foundation of a well-developed digital infrastructure is crucial for the efficient delivery of e-governance services.
2) Comprehensive Legal and Regulatory Framework
   Establishing clear legal guidelines and regulations ensures digital services' secure and efficient implementation.
3) Cybersecurity Measures
   Protecting data and digital infrastructure from cyber threats is essential to maintaining trust and integrity in digital governance.
4) Public Engagement and Digital Literacy
   Promoting digital literacy and encouraging public participation is vital for successfully adopting digital governance initiatives.

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

2.3. Impact of Digital Transformation on Political Processes

2.3.1. Political Campaigning and Communication

Digital platforms have fundamentally transformed political campaigning, voter engagement, and communication strategies. Social media and other digital tools have revolutionized how politicians connect with constituents, mobilize support, and communicate their messages. According to Tufekci, social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram have enabled politicians to bypass traditional media gatekeepers and directly engage with voters (Tufekci, 2014). This direct line of communication allows for more personalized and immediate interactions, fostering a sense of connection and responsiveness between politicians and the electorate.

Furthermore, Chadwick highlights the hybrid nature of modern political communication, where traditional media and digital platforms coexist and complement each other (Chadwick, 2017). Politicians now utilize traditional campaigns and digital strategies to maximize their reach and impact. For instance, targeted advertising on social media can effectively mobilize specific demographic groups, while data analytics can refine campaign messages to resonate with different population segments.

The role of social media in political movements and election processes is also significant. Social media has been instrumental in organizing and amplifying political movements, providing a platform for grassroots activism and mobilization. Tufekci notes that social media can facilitate rapid dissemination of information, coordinate protests, and create online support communities (Tufekci, 2014). This was evident in events such as the Arab Spring and other political uprisings where social media played a crucial role in rallying support and organizing collective action.

2.3.2. Citizen Participation and Engagement

Digital tools have also significantly enhanced citizen participation in governance, promoting greater transparency and accountability. Nam argues that e-government initiatives and digital platforms enable citizens to engage more actively with their governments, providing feedback, participating in decision-making processes, and holding public officials accountable (Nam, 2012). Online platforms for petitions, public consultations, and participatory budgeting are examples of how digital technologies can facilitate more direct and meaningful citizen involvement in governance.

Bertot et al. discuss the role of digital technologies in fostering transparency and accountability (Bertot et al., 2010). They highlight how e-government initiatives can make government operations more transparent by providing easier access to public information and enabling real-time monitoring of government activities. This transparency is crucial for building public trust and ensuring that government actions are subject to public scrutiny.

Moreover, digital tools can help bridge the gap between citizens and government officials, making governance more inclusive and responsive. For example, online forums and social media platforms allow for two-way communication, where citizens can voice their concerns and receive timely responses from government representatives. This enhanced communication can lead to more informed and engaged citizens, ultimately strengthening democratic processes and institutions.

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

2.4. Challenges of Digital Transformation in Governance

2.4.1. Digital Divide and Inequality

The digital divide presents significant challenges for equitable access to digital governance. The digital divide refers to the gap between individuals with access to modern information and communication technologies (ICTs) and those without. This disparity can be based on various factors, including socio-economic status, geographic location, age, and education level. Dijk emphasizes that digital inequality can lead to a scenario where only a segment of the population benefits from digital governance, potentially exacerbating existing social inequalities (Dijk, 2006).

The implications of the digital divide are profound, as they hinder equal participation in digital governance and limit the effectiveness of e-government initiatives. Individuals without reliable internet access or digital literacy skills are less likely to engage with online government services, participate in digital public consultations, or benefit from digital governance's transparency and efficiency.

Several strategies can be employed to mitigate digital inequality. Luyt suggests governments must invest in expanding digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved rural and remote areas, to ensure broader access to high-speed Internet (Luyt, 2003). Additionally, digital literacy programs are crucial to equip citizens with the skills to navigate and utilize digital platforms effectively. By addressing these issues, governments can work towards more inclusive digital governance that ensures equitable access for all citizens.

2.4.2. Cybersecurity and Privacy Concerns

Cybersecurity and data privacy are critical challenges in the realm of digital governance. As governments increasingly rely on digital systems to deliver services and store sensitive information, they become prime targets for cyberattacks. Paun outlines the various types of cybersecurity threats, including hacking, data breaches, and malware, which can compromise the integrity and confidentiality of government data (Paun, 2018).

Data privacy concerns also arise as governments collect and store vast amounts of personal information from citizens. Studies highlight the potential risks associated with data privacy, such as unauthorized access, misuse of personal data, and the erosion of privacy rights (Heurix et al., 2015; Solove, 2006; Vidanage et al., 2022). These issues can undermine public trust in digital governance and deter citizens from engaging with e-government services.

Governments must implement robust cybersecurity measures and data privacy policies to secure digital transformation. This includes employing advanced encryption technologies, conducting regular security audits, and developing comprehensive incident response plans. Additionally, establishing clear data privacy regulations and ensuring transparency about how personal data is used and protected can help build public confidence in digital governance systems.

2.4.3. Institutional Resistance and Change Management

Resistance to digital transformation within governmental institutions is another significant challenge. Heeks and Stanforth argue that institutional resistance can stem from various sources, including bureaucratic inertia, fear of job displacement, and lack of digital skills among government employees (Heeks & Stanforth, 2007). This resistance can slow the adoption of digital technologies and impede the overall progress of digital governance initiatives.

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

Effective change management strategies are essential to overcome institutional resistance and facilitate successful digital transformation. Kotter suggests a multi-step approach to change management, including creating a sense of urgency, building a coalition of change advocates, and communicating a clear vision for digital transformation (Kotter, 1996). Capacity building is also crucial, involving training and development programs to enhance the digital skills of government employees and foster a culture of innovation and adaptability.

2.5. Digital Transformation in Indonesia

Indonesia's governance has evolved significantly since its independence in 1945. The country's governance structure was initially characterized by a centralized system under the leadership of President Sukarno, followed by a period of authoritarian rule under President Suharto (Aspinall & Mietzner, 2010). During Suharto's New Order regime, governance was marked by strong central control, limited political freedoms, and pervasive corruption.

Post-Suharto, Indonesia embarked on a series of governance reforms aimed at decentralization and democratization. The Reformasi era, beginning in 1998, saw significant efforts to decentralize power to local governments, increase transparency, and enhance public participation (Rinaldi et al., 2007). These reforms laid the groundwork for subsequent digital transformation initiatives by promoting more accountable and participatory governance structures.

Indonesia has made notable strides in its digital transformation journey, particularly in the public sector. Current digital transformation initiatives are part of the broader e-government strategy to improve public service delivery, increase transparency, and foster greater citizen engagement. Key initiatives include implementing the Indonesian e-Government Master Plan (E-Gov MP) and nationwide smart city projects (Rokhman, 2011).

One of the major successes of Indonesia's digital transformation is the establishment of online platforms for public services, such as the National Single Window for trade facilitation and the One Data Indonesia initiative, which aims to standardize and integrate data across government agencies (Priyono et al., 2020). These initiatives have improved the efficiency and accessibility of public services, contributing to greater transparency and accountability.

However, the journey has not been without challenges. Issues such as the digital divide, inadequate digital infrastructure in rural areas, and cybersecurity threats pose significant obstacles. Additionally, resistance to change within governmental institutions and a lack of digital literacy among some population segments hinder the full realization of digital transformation benefits.

Comparing Indonesia's digital transformation with other countries provides valuable insights and lessons for improvement. For instance, Estonia's success in building a robust digital infrastructure and a secure e-residency program offers a model that Indonesia can emulate to enhance its digital governance capabilities (Adeodato & Pournouri, 2020). Estonia's comprehensive digital identity and data security approach could help Indonesia address its cybersecurity and data privacy concerns.

Similarly, South Korea's emphasis on high-speed internet accessibility and digital literacy programs has been pivotal in its digital transformation success. Indonesia could benefit from adopting similar strategies to bridge the digital divide and ensure broader participation in digital governance.

Furthermore, India's Digital India initiative highlights the importance of scalable digital solutions and integrating technology with existing governance frameworks. Indonesia can draw

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

lessons from India's approach to enhance its digital service delivery and address the diverse needs of its population.

3. Research Methodology

This study utilized a qualitative research design, employing case studies and literature analysis to explore the opportunities and challenges of digital transformation in Indonesian politics and governance. The qualitative approach was chosen for its capacity to capture the intricate and context-specific nature of digitalization within the public sector, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted dynamics at play (Creswell & Poth, 2017; Yin, 2018).

This study's primary units of analysis encompassed the policies and practices of digitalization, specific government agencies engaged in digital governance initiatives, active politicians leveraging social media for political engagement, and citizens actively participating in digital political activities. These units of analysis were identified to provide a comprehensive examination of the digital transformation landscape in Indonesian governance.

A purposive sampling technique was employed to gather data, enabling the deliberate selection of pertinent participants. The study population included representatives from key government agencies, influential politicians with a notable social media presence, and digitally active citizens engaged in political discourse online. This sampling strategy aimed to ensure the inclusion of individuals and organizations possessing valuable insights relevant to the research objectives.

The sample size was determined to balance the depth and breadth of analysis, with approximately 5-7 representatives from government agencies, 8-10 influential politicians, and 15-20 digitally active citizens included in the study. This sample size was sufficient to capture diverse perspectives while enabling in-depth qualitative analysis.

Data collection methods included semi-structured interviews with selected participants and a comprehensive analysis of relevant documents such as government policy papers, strategic plans, and social media posts. These methods were chosen to facilitate a nuanced exploration of participants' experiences, perceptions, and practices related to digital transformation in governance.

Thematic analysis techniques were employed to analyze the collected data, involving data familiarization, initial coding, theme development, refinement, definition of themes, and reporting findings. Ethical considerations were prioritized throughout the research process, with informed consent obtained from all participants and measures taken to ensure anonymity and confidentiality.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Enhanced Political Participation via Social Media

The digital transformation in Indonesian politics is markedly evidenced by the robust engagement of politicians and citizens on social media platforms. Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have become pivotal in political communication. For instance, Indonesian political figures such as President Joko Widodo have millions of followers on these platforms, facilitating direct and personal interactions with constituents. The president's Twitter account has around 19 million followers, underscoring his broad reach and the platform's importance in modern political discourse (We Are Social Indonesia, 2023).

Social media has democratized political participation by providing a platform for voices that might otherwise be marginalized in traditional media outlets. This increased accessibility

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

fosters greater political engagement and allows citizens to hold their leaders accountable in real-time.

Social media also revolutionizes political campaigning and mobilization. Platforms like Instagram and TikTok are heavily utilized for campaign strategies during election periods. For example, during the 2019 presidential election, campaign teams extensively used hashtags and viral campaigns to enhance political awareness and mobilize support quickly. Hashtags such as #01Jokowi and #02Prabowo became trending topics, reaching millions within hours and showcasing the efficiency of digital campaigns compared to traditional methods (We Are Social Indonesia, 2023).

A significant example of this is TikTok, which, as of early 2024, had 126.8 million users aged 18 and above in Indonesia. The platform's ad reach increased by 19.1% from the previous quarter, highlighting its growing influence. TikTok's format, which allows for short, engaging videos, is particularly effective for rapidly disseminating campaign messages and mobilizing youth participation (We Are Social Indonesia, 2023).

The findings from this study align with several key theories and previous research on digital transformation and political participation. The Public Sphere Theory by Jürgen Habermas (Habermas et al., 1974), which emphasizes the role of communication in democratic societies, is particularly relevant. Social media platforms act as modern public spheres where citizens can freely discuss and debate political issues.

Furthermore, the Diffusion of Innovations Theory by Everett Rogers helps explain the rapid adoption of digital platforms for political engagement in Indonesia. The theory posits that innovations spread through societies in stages, and social media's integration into Indonesian politics exemplifies this process. Early adopters, such as prominent politicians and influencers, pave the way for broader acceptance and utilization of these technologies (Rogers, 2003).

Previous research (Luyt, 2003; Shirky, 2010) supports these findings. Luyt's work on digital democracy highlights how the Internet facilitates political engagement and democratization (Luyt, 2003). Similarly, Shirky's research underscores the power of social media to enable collective action and mobilize large groups rapidly, a phenomenon evident in Indonesian political campaigns (Shirky, 2010).

4.2. Evolution of E-Governance

The Indonesian government has undertaken significant e-governance initiatives to enhance efficiency and transparency in public services. These initiatives include the e-Samsat system for vehicle tax payments, e-KTP for national identity management, and e-procurement systems for public goods and services. Implementing these systems has notably improved service delivery by reducing administrative time and costs. For instance, the e-KTP system has facilitated more streamlined national identity management, leading to better coordination and fewer instances of identity fraud (Liew, 2022).

These developments align with the New Public Management (NPM) theory, which emphasizes adopting private-sector management practices to improve public-sector efficiency (Hood, 1991). By leveraging digital tools, Indonesia has streamlined bureaucratic processes, minimized red tape, and enhanced overall service delivery, increasing public satisfaction and trust in the government .

Adopting digital technologies has significantly boosted government transparency and accountability in Indonesia. For example, online platforms for reporting public budgets and expenditures, such as those implemented by local governments, enable citizens to monitor the

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

use of public funds in real-time. Interviews with government officials highlight that these systems help reduce corruption and enhance public trust.

This shift towards transparency is supported by the principles of open government, which advocate for greater transparency, public participation, and collaboration between governments and citizens (Lathrop & Ruma, 2010). The availability of accessible information and the facilitation of citizen oversight through digital platforms is critical in fostering a more accountable and responsive government (Liew, 2022).

The findings from this study highlight the dual impact of digital transformation in Indonesia's governance. On the one hand, implementing e-governance systems has significantly improved efficiency and service delivery. For example, the e-Samsat system has simplified vehicle tax payments, and the e-KTP has enhanced identity management. These improvements reflect the principles of the NPM theory, which advocates for efficiency and effectiveness in public administration by adopting private sector practices (Hood, 1991).

On the other hand, digital transformation has also enhanced transparency and accountability. Online reporting systems for public budgets and expenditures provide a clear example of how digital tools can increase government transparency and reduce corruption. This aligns with the open government concept, emphasizing the importance of transparency, participation, and collaboration in governance (Lathrop & Ruma, 2010).

The integration of these digital initiatives, however, is not without challenges. The fragmentation of digital services and the existence of numerous duplicated applications across different government agencies have been identified as significant obstacles. Efforts to consolidate these systems into more efficient and unified platforms are ongoing, aiming to reduce redundancy and improve interoperability (Liew, 2022).

Moreover, the move towards a comprehensive national digital transformation agenda, spearheaded by a central authority, is crucial for the success of these initiatives. This approach ensures a coordinated effort across various government departments, thereby maximizing the benefits of digital transformation while addressing existing challenges .

4.3. Challenges of Cybersecurity and Data Privacy

Despite the advantages, the digitalization of the public sector introduces significant cybersecurity challenges. Cyberattacks on government institutions in Indonesia have surged. According to the National Cyber and Crypto Agency (BSSN), Indonesia experienced over 11.8 million cyberattacks in the first quarter of 2022 alone, with incidents ranging from malware to phishing attempts (Chen, 2022). Additionally, BSSN recorded over 1.6 billion "traffic anomalies" in 2021, mostly attributed to malware, trojan activity, and phishing attempts (Chen, 2022). The increasing frequency and sophistication of these cyber threats underscore the necessity for robust cybersecurity measures.

Implementing comprehensive cybersecurity frameworks, such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework, cannot be overstated. These frameworks emphasize comprehensive risk management practices essential for protecting critical infrastructure (Barrett, 2018). As cyber threats evolve, Indonesia must strengthen its cybersecurity defenses to protect government systems and sensitive data.

Data privacy protection has become a critical issue as digital public services expand. Interviews with cybersecurity experts indicate that while there are laws governing data protection, their enforcement is often inadequate. Data breaches, such as those involving e-KTP data, highlight the urgent need for stricter data protection policies and practices (Mordor Intelligence, 2023).

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

Indonesia's current data protection framework, largely based on the Electronic Information and Transactions (EIT) Law, lacks specific definitions and comprehensive enforcement mechanisms (Chen, 2022). A more robust model, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union, could provide a template for improving Indonesia's data privacy standards. Effective data privacy protection safeguards individual rights and builds public trust in digital services, which is essential for the success of e-governance initiatives (Lathrop & Ruma, 2010).

The cybersecurity and data privacy issues further complicate the digital transformation process. The rising number of cyberattacks and data breaches necessitates the adoption of more robust cybersecurity frameworks and data protection laws. Adapting models like the GDPR could significantly enhance Indonesia's data privacy framework, ensuring better protection of personal data and fostering public trust in digital services (Barrett, 2018; Chen, 2022).

4.4. Digital Divide

A significant challenge in Indonesia's digital transformation is the digital divide. Data from the BPS - Statistics Indonesia indicates that internet access is still uneven, particularly in rural and remote areas. For instance, while urban areas report high internet penetration, rural regions lag significantly behind. In 2021, internet penetration in urban areas was approximately 78%, compared to just 44% in rural areas (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2022). Additionally, digital literacy remains a barrier, with many citizens lacking basic digital skills. Intensive training and education programs are needed to address this gap (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2022; Liew, 2022).

This issue is framed by the digital divide theory, which examines the disparities in access to and use of information and communication technologies (Dijk, 2006). Bridging the digital divide requires comprehensive policies that improve infrastructure and promote digital literacy across all segments of society. For example, digital literacy programs targeted at rural populations could significantly enhance their ability to utilize digital services, thus promoting more inclusive digital governance.

Inadequate technological infrastructure in some regions also poses a challenge. Despite efforts to enhance internet networks and other technological infrastructures, budget constraints and inter-agency coordination issues remain significant barriers. For example, while the Palapa Ring project aimed to provide high-speed Internet to remote areas, challenges such as high implementation costs and maintenance issues have hindered its success (Liew, 2022).

Investment in infrastructure is essential to support digital transformation. The diffusion of innovations theory (Rogers, 2003) suggests that adequate infrastructure and support systems must be in place for digital technologies to be widely adopted. Ensuring equitable access to digital tools and services is crucial for inclusive digital governance. This involves expanding physical infrastructure and ensuring all citizens have the skills and resources to use digital technologies effectively.

The digital divide also poses a significant challenge. Bridging this divide requires targeted policies to improve digital infrastructure and promote digital literacy across all societal segments. Addressing the digital divide is critical to ensuring that the benefits of digital transformation are equitably distributed, thus supporting more inclusive and effective governance (Dijk, 2006; Rogers, 2003).

5. Conclusion

Digital transformation in politics and governance in Indonesia offers significant opportunities and challenges. This study highlights the multifaceted impacts of digitalization

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

on the public sector based on qualitative data from case studies and literature analysis. The findings reveal key insights into the benefits and obstacles associated with the digital transformation of governance in Indonesia.

Firstly, digital platforms, particularly social media, have revolutionized political engagement in Indonesia. They provide a direct communication channel between politicians and the public, facilitating greater involvement in political discourse. The active presence of politicians on platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram has increased public engagement and enabled more immediate and personal interactions with constituents. This enhanced political participation is a critical benefit of digital transformation.

Secondly, e-governance initiatives such as e-Samsat for vehicle tax payments, e-KTP for national identity management, and e-procurement systems for public goods and services have significantly improved efficiency and transparency in public services. These digital systems streamline administrative processes, reduce costs, and enhance service delivery. Additionally, online reporting systems for public budgets and expenditures increase government transparency and reduce corruption, thereby building public trust.

However, the integration of digital technologies also presents several challenges. The increasing reliance on digital platforms has heightened vulnerability to cyber threats. The rise in cyber attacks on government institutions emphasizes the need for robust cybersecurity frameworks to protect critical infrastructure. Moreover, the expansion of digital public services necessitates stringent data privacy measures. Despite existing laws, their enforcement remains suboptimal, as evidenced by data breaches involving sensitive information. Adopting comprehensive data protection frameworks similar to those in other regions could significantly improve Indonesia's data privacy standards.

Another major challenge is the digital divide, which remains a barrier to inclusive digital governance. Disparities in internet access and digital literacy between urban and rural areas limit the equitable distribution of digital transformation benefits. Comprehensive policies to enhance infrastructure and promote digital literacy are essential to bridging this gap. Addressing the digital divide is critical to ensuring that the benefits of digital transformation are accessible to all segments of society.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the reliance on qualitative data from case studies and literature may not capture the full spectrum of digital transformation impacts across Indonesia. Secondly, the rapid evolution of digital technologies means that the findings may quickly become outdated. Lastly, the study's purposive sampling technique, while useful for focusing on key stakeholders, may limit the generalizability of the findings.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to track the ongoing impact of digital transformation in Indonesian governance. Quantitative studies could provide more comprehensive data on the effectiveness of digital initiatives. Additionally, research on specific regions or sectors could offer deeper insights into localized challenges and successes. Comparative studies with other countries undergoing similar digital transformations could also yield valuable lessons for Indonesia.

Overall, while digital transformation presents significant opportunities for enhancing efficiency, transparency, and public participation in Indonesian governance, it also requires addressing challenges related to cybersecurity, data privacy, and the digital divide. Adaptive policies and robust regulatory frameworks are essential to maximizing the benefits of digital transformation and ensuring its success.

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

6. Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank those willing to cooperate profusely during this research.

7. Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author has declared no potential conflicts of interest concerning this article's research, authorship, and/or publication.

References

Adeodato, R., & Pournouri, S. (2020). Secure Implementation of E-Governance: A Case Study About Estonia. In *Advanced Sciences and Technologies for Security Applications* (pp. 397–429). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35746-7_18

Aspinall, E., & Mietzner, M. (2010). Problems of democratisation in Indonesia: Elections, institutions and society. In *Problems of Democratisation in Indonesia: Elections, Institutions and Society*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Badan Pusat Statistik. (2022). *Statistik Telekomunikasi Indonesia 2021*.

Barrett, M. (2018). Framework for improving critical infrastructure cybersecurity. *Proceedings of the Annual ISA Analysis Division Symposium*, *535*, 9–25.

Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2010). Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools for societies. *Government Information Quarterly*, *27*(3), 264–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.03.001

Bhardwaj, A., & Cyphert, D. (2020). Direct Benefit Transfer Using Aadhaar. In *Examining the roles of IT and social media in democratic development and social change* (pp. 185–210). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1791-8.ch008

Birnbaum, R., Christensen, C. M., Christensen, C. M., & Raynor, M. E. (2005). The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail. *Academe*, *91*(1), 80. https://doi.org/10.2307/40252749

Castells, M. (2016). Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age. In *Democracy* (pp. 433–435). Columbia University Press. https://doi.org/10.7312/blau17412-091

Chadwick, A. (2017). *The Hybrid Media System: Politics and Power, Second Edition* (Vol. 1). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190696726.001.0001

Chen, E. (2022). *As Cyber Threats Grow, Indonesia's Data Protection Efforts Are Falling Short*. The Diplomat.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dijk, J. Van. (2006). The Network Society. Social Aspects of New Media. In *The New Faces of Victimhood: Globalization, ….* SAGE Publications.

Gelb, A., & Metz, A. D. (2017). Identification revolution: Can digital ID be harnessed for development? In *Identification Revolution: Can Digital ID be Harnessed for Development?* Brookings Institution Press.

Gil-Garcia, J. R., Helbig, N., & Ojo, A. (2014). Being smart: Emerging technologies and innovation in the public sector. *Government Information Quarterly*, *31*(S1), I1–I8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.09.001

Habermas, J., Lennox, S., & Lennox, F. (1974). The Public Sphere: An Encyclopedia Article (1964). *New German Critique*, *3*, 49. https://doi.org/10.2307/487737

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

Heeks, R., & Stanforth, C. (2007). Understanding e-Government project trajectories from an actor-network perspective. *European Journal of Information Systems*, *16*(2), 165–177. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000676

Heurix, J., Zimmermann, P., Neubauer, T., & Fenz, S. (2015). A taxonomy for privacy enhancing technologies. *Computers & Security*, *53*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2015.05.002

Hood, C. (1991). A PUBLIC MANAGEMENT FOR ALL SEASONS? *Public Administration*, *69*(1), 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.1991.tb00779.x

Kalvet, T. (2012). Innovation: a factor explaining e-government success in Estonia. *Electronic Government, an International Journal*, *9*(2), 142. https://doi.org/10.1504/EG.2012.046266

Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2012). E-Participation, Transparency, and Trust in Local Government. *Public Administration Review*, *72*(6), 819–828. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02593.x

Kitsing, M. (2011). Success Without Strategy: E-Government Development in Estonia. *Policy & Internet*, *3*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.2202/1944-2866.1095

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Lathrop, D., & Ruma, L. (2010). *Open government: Collaboration, transparency, and participation in practice*. O'Reilly Media.

Liew, M. E. (2022). *Is Indonesia on track to a fully digital government by 2025?* GovInsider.

Lund, B. (2021). Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Information Technology and Libraries*, *40*(1). https://doi.org/10.6017/ital.v40i1.13193

Luyt, B. (2003). Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide. *Social Science Computer Review*, *21*(1), 120–123. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439302238974

Margetts, H., & Dunleavy, P. (2013). The second wave of digital-era governance: a quasi-paradigm for government on the Web. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *371*(1987), 20120382. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2012.0382

Marien, M. (2014). Book Review: The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. *World Futures Review*, *6*(2), 189–193. https://doi.org/10.1177/1946756714541404

Mazzucato, M. (2013). *The Entrepreneurial State: Debunking Private vs. Public Sector Myths*. Anthem Press.

Meijer, A. (2015). E-governance innovation: Barriers and strategies. *Government Information Quarterly*, *32*(2), 198–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.01.001

Mordor Intelligence. (2023). *Indonesia Cybersecurity Market - Size, Share & Trends*.

Nam, T. (2012). Citizens' attitudes toward Open Government and Government 2.0. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, *78*(2), 346–368. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852312438783

Nam, T. (2015). Challenges and Concerns of Open Government. *Social Science Computer Review*, *33*(5), 556–570. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314560848

Paun, M. (2018). Data and Goliath: the hidden battles to collect your data and control your world. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, *10*(1), 153–156. https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2018.1451267

Priyono, A., Moin, A., & Putri, V. N. A. O. (2020). Identifying Digital Transformation Paths in the Business Model of SMEs during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *6*(4), 104. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6040104

Rinaldi, T., Purnomo, M., & Damayanti, D. (2007). Fighting corruption in decentralized Indonesia. In *World Bank*. World Bank.

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
Rokhman, A. (2011). E-Government Adoption in Developing Countries; the Case of Indonesia. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences*, *2*(5), 228–236.
Shirky, C. (2010). *Cognitive surplus: How technology makes consumers into collaborators*. Penguin.
Solove, D. J. (2006). A Taxonomy of Privacy. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, *154*(3), 477. https://doi.org/10.2307/40041279
Tufekci, Z. (2014). Social Movements and Governments in the Digital Age: Evaluating a Complex Landscape. *Journal of International Affairs*, *68*(1), 1–18.
Vidanage, A., Ranbaduge, T., Christen, P., & Schnell, R. (2022). Taxonomy of Attacks on Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage. *Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality*, *12*(1), 946–969. https://doi.org/10.29012/jpc.764
We Are Social Indonesia. (2023). *Digital 2023: Indonesia*.
Weerakkody, V., Irani, Z., Lee, H., Osman, I., & Hindi, N. (2015). E-government implementation: A bird's eye view of issues relating to costs, opportunities, benefits and risks. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *17*(4), 889–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-013-9472-3
West, D. M. (2011). *Digital government: Technology and public sector performance*. Princeton University Press.
Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
Znoj, H. (2017). Deep Corruption in Indonesia: Discourses, Practices, Histories. In *Corruption and the Secret of Law* (pp. 53–74). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315259208-3

About the Author

Muhlis Hafel, obtained his Doctoral degree in Political Science from Universitas Indonesia in 2015. The author is an Associate Professor at the Department of Government Science, Faculty of Law, Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Terbuka, Indonesia.
E-Mail: muhlis@ecampus.ut.ac.id

https://doi.org/10.33019/society.v11i2.577